

Proposal Title :	Port Macquarie - Town Centre Fringe Urban Consolidation			
Proposal Summary ;	To facilitate redevelopment and urban consolidation within the Port Macquarie town centre fringe area.			
PP Number :	PP_2014_PORTM_002_00	Dop File No :	14/12433	
oposal Details		and the second second	allegener i terrere	
Date Planning Proposal Received :	31-Jul-2014	LGA covered :	Port Macquarie-Hastings	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Port Macquarie-Hastings Counc	
State Electorate :	PORT MACQUARIE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Precinct			
ocation Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel : Po	rt Macquarie town centre fringe	area as shown on p10 of the P	lanning Proposal	
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Craig Diss			
Contact Number :	0267019685			
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov	7.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Steve Schwartz			
Contact Number :	0265818632			
Contact Email :	Steve.Schwartz@pmhc.nsw.g	jov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

		Data di Data data	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1,150
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	: Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has th	ing and Environment's Code of Pr etings with lobbyists has been cor Northern Region has not met with Northern Region been advised o Id lobbyists concerning the propos	nplied with to the best of the n any lobbyists in relation to f any meeting between other
Have there been	No		
meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :			
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmer	nt		and the second second second
Statement of the ob	ojectives - s55(2)(a)		
	bjectives provided? Yes		
	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning,	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmer	nendments to Port
Is a statement of the ot Comment :	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmer centre firnge area.	tention of the Planning Proposal to nendments to Port nt and urban consolidation in the
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town o	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmer centre firnge area.	nendments to Port
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of tisions provided - s55 ovisions provided? Yes	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmen centre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the	nendments to Port nt and urban consolidation in the
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of pro Comment :	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of Visions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmen centre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the	nendments to Port nt and urban consolidation in the
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - \$55 (2	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of visions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(C)	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmen centre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the EP 2011.	nendments to Port nt and urban consolidation in the
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of visions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmen centre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the EP 2011.	nendments to Port at and urban consolidation in the e intended changes to Port
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions Idea	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of risions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopmen centre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the EP 2011. frector General? Yes 1.1 Business and Industrial Zo 2.1 Environment Protection Zo	nendments to Port at and urban consolidation in the e intended changes to Port
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions Idea	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of visions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopments entre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the EP 2011. Frector General? Yes 1.1 Business and Industrial Zo 2.1 Environment Protection Zo 2.3 Heritage Conservation	nendments to Port at and urban consolidation in the e intended changes to Port
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions Idea	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of risions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopments entre firnge area. (2)(b) (inector General? Yes 1.1 Business and Industrial Zo 2.1 Environment Protection Zo 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	nendments to Port at and urban consolidation in the e intended changes to Port
Is a statement of the of Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strates b) S.117 directions Idea	bjectives provided? Yes The statement of obje make various zoning, Macquarie-Hastings L Port Macquarie town of risions provided - s55( ovisions provided? Yes The explanation of pro- Macquarie-Hastings L 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the D ntified by RPA :	floor space and building height an EP 2011 to promote redevelopments entre firnge area. (2)(b) ovisions adequately addresses the EP 2011. Frector General? Yes 1.1 Business and Industrial Zo 2.1 Environment Protection Zo 2.3 Heritage Conservation	nendments to Port at and urban consolidation in the e intended changes to Port

	3.4 integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement required? Yes
c) Consistent with Stand	lard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have th	ne RPA Identified? N/A
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the Mid North Coast Regiona Strategy and relevant SEPPs.
De considered .	The inconsistencies with relevant section 117 Directions are considered to be of minor significance as discussed below.
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No
lf No, explain :	The submitted Planning Proposal does not identify any inconsistencies with relevant section 117 Directions. A review of the Planning Proposal has identified the inconsistencies, which are considered to be of minor significance as discussed below.
Apping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Ye	98
Comment :	While the amended LEP map sheets have not been provided at this stage, the Planning Proposal includes maps identifying the current and proposed zoning, floor space and building height changes. The maps provided are considered sufficient for the Planning Proposal to proceed and for public exhibition purposes.
Community consulta	ntion - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The RPA has identified the proposal as being 'low impact' and has recommneded a 14 day exhibition period.
	While the supporting Liverable Neighbourhoods report has undergone considerable public consultation in its preparation, due to the number of proposed zoning, floor space and buiding heights changes (including a decrease in building height and/or floor space provisions for a small number of sites) it is considered appropriate that a 28 day notification period be undertaken to provide affected landowners with an adequate opportunity to review the proposal.
Additional Director G	eneral's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? <b>No</b>
If Yes, reasons :	
verail adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;
	<ol> <li>Providing an adequate Justification for the proposal;</li> <li>Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and</li> </ol>
	Page 3 of 8 06 Aug 2014 03:

## Port Macquarie - Town Centre Fringe Urban Consolidation

5. Providing a project time line.

Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the proposal deals only with matters of local significance and is considered to be consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy and Council's Director General approved urban growth management strategy, it is recommended that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.

The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for notification in June 2015. To ensure an adequate period for finalisation of this matter, a 12 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

## **Proposal Assessment**

## **Principal LEP:**

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

# Port Macquarie-Hastings comprehensive LEP 2011 was made in February 2011.

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :

The need for the Planning Proposal is a result of Council's Urban Growth Management Strategy 2011-2031 which identified the town centre fringe area for review 'to promote urban consolidation in central, well-connected locations that provides a range of services or recreation opportunities for residents'. This review has now been undertaken by Council with the completion of the Liveable Neighbourhoods report. The Plannning Proposal seeks to implement a number of recommendations from this report to the zoning, floor space and building height provisions of the LEP to help promote the economic feasibility of urban consolidation in the area. The amended controls will also resolve a current mismatch between building and floor space ratio provisions on a small number of sites and encourage better urban design outcomes with more opportunities for building articulation and appropriate transition areas between higher and lower building density areas.

## Port Macquarie - Town Centre Fringe Urban Consolidation

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy, applicable SEPPs and Council's Director General approved Urban Growth Management Strategy. In particular, the proposal is considered likely to promote achievement of Mid North Coast Regional Strategy goals of increasing residential density in key centres and having 40 percent of new dwellings delivered in an attached format.

While the Planning Proposal states that it is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions, it is considered that the proposal is inconsistent with the following Directions:

## 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to rezone a small area of land on the northern side of Ackroyd St from R1 General Residential to B2 Local Centre in the absence of a local strategy approved by the Director General. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to the small quantity of land involved (2ha) and as it is a logical minor extension or 'rounding off' to Ackroyd St of the Gordon St business area that is identified in Council's Director General approved urban growth management strategy.

#### 2.3 Heritage Conservation

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it does not include any provisions to facilitate the conservation of heritage items or precincts of heritage significance. The proposal contains 2 local heritage items, 1 archaeological site and directly adjoins 1 State heritage item (First (Allman Hill) Burying Ground, Clarence St). The inconsistency of this proposal is considered to be of minor significance as Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 contains the model heritage provisions of the Standard Instrument LEP (clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation). Due the heritage and archaeological items located within the subject area, and the adjoining State heritage item, it is recommended that Council consult with Office of Environment and Heritage - Heritage Branch on the proposal.

#### 3.1 Residential Zones

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it rezones land from R1 General Residential to B2 Local Centre, and also reduces the permissible height and/or floor space ratio provisions applying to a small number of parcels, thereby reducing the land available for housing. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to:

- the small area proposed to be rezoned from R1 General Residential to B2 Local Centre (2ha) representing a logical minor extension or 'rounding off' to Ackroyd St of the Gordon St business area which is identified as a key business location within Council's Director General approved growth management strategy; and

- the reduction of permissible height and/or floor space ratio provisions applying to a small number of parcels is likely to have negligible impact on the actual existing development potential of the land. Council's review identified that a small number of sites had inappropriate or mismatched height and/or floor space provisions, that did not reflect the site characteristics and made the theoritical development potential generally unachieveable.

#### 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it allows for an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils without the preparation of an acid sulfate soils study. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the land is already zoned for residential use, the small area of acid sulfate soils involved (located in close proximity to the Hastings River and Kooloonbung Creek) and as Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 contains the model acid sulfate soils provisions (clause 7.1 Acid Sulfate Soils) to ensure that this matter can be adequately addressed if required at development application stage.

### 4.3 Flood Prone Land

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it allows for an intensification of development on land located within an identified flood planning area. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the land is already zoned

macquarie - TOW	The subscription of the su	rban Consolidation	
	to the Hastings Ri contains the mode Risk Management development appl	e, the small area of flood prone land invol- ver and Kooloonbung Creek) and as Port el flood planning provisions (clause 7.3 F ) to ensure that this matter can be adequa ication stage. As the proposal does inclu it Council consult with the Office of Envir	Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 ood Planning and 7.4 Flood ately addressed if required at de flood prone land it is
	bushfire prone lar the NSW Rural Fir	ushfire Protection elevant as the Planning Proposal will affe nd. The Direction requires the RPA to con re Service after a Gateway Determination occurred the consistency of the proposal	sult with the Commissioner of has been issued. Until this
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Planning Prop environmental imp	oosal applies to a developed urban area a pacts have been identified as likely to res	nd no significant adverse ult from the proposal.
	landowners, the fu increased urban d Council's Director existing nature of	a in development potential is likely to hav uture character of the area is also likely to lensity. This is however considered to be General approved Urban Growth Manag the area, with many medium and higher o eady situated in the locality.	o change if redevelopment and consistent with the intent of ement Strategy and with the
ssessment Proces	5		
Proposal type :	Precinct	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environn NSW Rural Fire Se	_	
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :	It is recommended consultation with:	t that the proposal proceeed for public co	omment subject to
		Service ment and Heritage (Heritage Branch) ment and Heritage (flooding)	
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b) : <b>No</b>		
f Yes, reasons :			
dentify any additional s	tudies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reason	15:		
Identify any internal cor	sultations, if required	:	
,,	,		

à

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Cover letter PP2011-5-1.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal LiveableNelghbourhoods Town Centre Fringe mapping changes v3.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PP2011-5-2 SID Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP2011-5_2 FSR Map.pdf	Map	Yes
PP2011-5_2 HOB Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP2011-5_2 LZN Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
Council Agenda May 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Minutes May 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 1.0 Purpose and Background.pdf	Study	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 2.0 Neighbourhood Structure.pdf	Study	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 3.0 Westport Neighbourhood.pdf	Study	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 4.0 Aston Hill Neighbourhood.pdf	Study	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 5.0 Town Beach West Neighbourhood.pdf	Study	Yes
Liveable Neighbourhoods Part 6.0 Planning Provision Recommendations.pdf	Study	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
	3.3 Home Occupations
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;
	2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days;
	3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 12 months;
	4. That the RPA consult with:
	- NSW Rural Fire Service
	- Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Branch)
	- Office of Environment and Heritage (flooding)
	5. That the Director General (or his delegate) agree to the inconsistencies with section
	117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1
	Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land as matters of minor significance;

	<ul> <li>6. That the Director General (or his delegate) note the current inconsistency with section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and that it is anticipated this inconsistency will need to be resolved prior to the proposal being finalised; and 7. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council.</li> <li>The Planning Proposal is supported as: <ul> <li>the proposal will promote achievement of Mid North Coast Regional Strategy goals of Increasing residential density in key centres and having 40 percent of new dwellings delivered in an attached format;</li> <li>it is consistent with Council's Director General approved Urban Growth Management Strategy 2011-2031;</li> <li>it will amend a number of zoning, floor space and building height provisions in the LEP to help promote the economic feasibility of urban consolidation within the identified area along with housing delivery and increasing housing type choice;</li> <li>the amended controls will resolve a current mismatch between building and floor space ratio provisions on a small number of sites; and</li> <li>the proposal will encourage better urban design outcomes with more opportunities for building articulation and appropriate transition areas between higher and lower building density areas.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Supporting Reasons :			
Signature:	J.Z		
Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: 7 August 2014		